

2. Status of the Taxlot Base and Digital Production of Taxmaps

Status of Assessor Maps being produced to ORMAP Technical Specifications (Specs):

Map Type	Meet Specs	Do Not Meet	Total	% Completed
Township	18	0	18	100
Section	678	31	709	96
Quarter Section	731	44	775	94
Sixteenth	1095	127	1222	90
Supplemental	57	0	57	100
Detail	7	0	7	100
Totals	2586	202	2788	93 %

Approximately how many taxlots are in the county? 110000

How many taxlots meet ORMAP Technical Specifications? 102300

How often does the county update the taxlot layer? Daily

Is the county layer distributed internally? Yes

Is the county taxlot layer integrated with any other systems / databases? Yes

If yes, briefly describe the other systems:

Assessment & Taxation data is integrated into the taxlot layer. The taxlot layer is in turn integrated into many other county & city department's mapping applications and desktop GIS services.

Is the county goal 2 layer available publicly? Yes

If yes, how are they available? • On the internet

If yes, is there a charge? No

What is the predicted month and year that the county will have 100% of assessor maps to ORMAP Technical Specifications? October, 2012

3. Remapping Procedures and Strategic Approach

What sources of geodetic control points does the county use? • County Surveyor
• Private Surveyor

What county department is responsible for digital taxmap creation? • Assessment & Taxation

4. Staffing and Training

Will training be required for county personnel to use GIS as a tool for taxlot maintenance? Yes

5. Timeline

Timeline to complete maps:

We have established common county boundary line agreements with all of our adjacent counties. We are internally mapped to those agreed boundaries. These agreements do not preclude the possibility of adjustments being made to portions of our boundary by either county, just that they must be agreed to by both counties involved.

Additional projects beyond the Silverton project have yet to be determined.

This timeline table is to summarize what your county's plans are for meeting the ORMAP Technical Specifications (Goal 6). This information is compiled with the plans from other counties and used by the Department of Revenue and the ORMAP Advisory Committee to administer the ORMAP Project. For each cycle that you will be requesting ORMAP funds, please include a brief description of the project, the estimated dollar amount to be requested, the approximate number of taxlots to be completed, and the actual number of taxlots and tax maps completed to date.

Funding Cycle Project Type	Taxlots Completed (per cycle)	Taxlots Completed (cum. %)	Maps Completed (per cycle)	Maps Completed (cum. %)	Funding Source		
					ORMAP	Other	Total
As of Spring 2004	93,570	85.1 %	2,363	84.8 %	\$34,900	\$500,000	\$534,900
Past Projects							
Spring 2005	0	85.1 %	0	84.8 %	\$19,530	\$ 850	\$20,380
Hardware & Software							
Spring 2005	3,650	88.4 %	110	88.7 %	\$39,730	\$5,000	\$44,730
Turner & Aumsville							
Spring 2007	0	88.4 %	0	88.7 %	\$ 0	\$10,000	\$10,000
Boundary Match Clackamas Co							

Spring 2009	5,080	93.0 %	113	92.8 %	\$39,197	\$10,000	\$49,197
Silverton							
Total	102,300	93.0 %	2,586	92.8 %	\$133,357	\$525,850	\$659,207

6. Contact

Contact Information

Steven Ganoë
 GIS Analyst 3
 555 Court St. NE, Rm. 2233
 PO Box 14500
 Salem, OR 97309-5036

Email: sganoë@co.marion.or.us

Phone: 503-588-5144

Fax: -